## Indian Philosophical Quarterly, Vol. XX, No. 4 October, 1993

# THE LAW OF DEPENDENT ORIGINATION AND RELATIONS

The Dependent Origination (paticcasamuppada) is the law of the origin of states depending upon some causes or conditions. There is nothing like a self independent entity. Everything comes into existence depending upon some other thing. It is said that "When this exists, that exists; on the arising of this, that arises. In the absence of this, that does not come into existence; on the cessation of this, that ceases to be". It is stated that there are twelve links, which make the wheel of becoming (bhava-cakka) revolve. These are the (1) Ingorance (Avijja). (2) Activities (Sankhara). (3) Birth-consciousness (Vinnana). (4) Mind and Body (Nama-rupa). (5) Six-Senses (Salayatana). (6) Touch (Phassa). (7) Feeling (Vedana). (8) Desire (Tanha), (9) Clinging (Upadana), (10) Becoming (Bhava), (11) Birth (Jati). (12) Decay & Death (Jara-marana). With these links, the circle of existence goes on revolving and exhibits the samsara as a fact of life.

The relation (paccaya) has been explained as that from which an effect derived from a cause comes-paticca etesma etiti paccayo<sup>2</sup>. It has the characteristics of rendering service<sup>3</sup>. The state which renders service to the standing or arising of a state only is said to be its cause or condition<sup>4</sup>. Where one dhamma by its arising or persistence is a helper to another dhamma, that first named is the causally relating dhamma to the last named. Thus, a relation (paccaya) is not only relating thing but also an assisting agency (upakaraka). There are twenty four types of relation, which have been enumerated, explained and illustrated in the Patthanapakarana<sup>3</sup>, the seventh book of the Abhidhamma-Pitaka. They are namely (1) Hetu-paccaya (root-condition), (2) Arammana-paccaya (Object-condition), (3) Adhipati-paccaya (Dominance-condition), (4) Anantara-paccaya (Contiguity-condition), (5) Samanantara-paccaya (Immediate-condition), (6) Sahajata-paccaya (conasance-condition), (7) Annamanna-paccaya (Mutuality-condition), (8) Nissaya-paccaya (Base-

**RECEIVED: 03/04/92** 

condition), (9) Upanissaya-paccaya (Decisive-condition), (10) Purejāta paccaya (Pre-existence-condition), (11) Pacchājāta-paccaya (Post-existence-condition), (12) Āsevana-paccaya (Repetition-condition), (13) Kamma-paccaya (Action-condition), (14) Vipāka-paccaya (Resultant-condition), (15) Āhāra-paccaya (Nourishing-condition), (16) Indriya-paccaya (Faculty-condition), (17) Jhāna-paccaya (Concentration-condition), (18) Magga-paccaya (Path-condition), (19) Sampayutta-paccaya (Association-condition), (20) Vippayutta-paccaya (Dissociation-condition), (21) Atthi-paccaya (Presence-condition), (22) Natthi-paccaya (Absence-condition), (23) Vigata-paccaya (Disappearance-condition), and (24) Avigata-paccaya (continuance-condition).

The law of Dependent Origination with its twelve factors, is no doubt, anterior to the theory of relations (paccaya), as is evident from the  $Nik\overline{a}yas$ . These two are two facets of the same doctrine. The former describes the things that are related and the latter, the way in which things are related. In other words, we can say that the law of dependent origination explains the process of existence of conditioned things. The theory of relation (paccaya) explains the relation existing between different phases, coming into existence. Such relations are explained in conditioned things only. Therefore, the two constitute one consistent whole, the one supplementing the other.

Again, the theory of relation (paccaya) is the final development of the theory of causality discovered and propounded by the Buddha. And, it was also said that the theory of relations was formulated by the \$\overline{A}phidh\overline{a}mmikas\$ in an attempt to place the theory of Dependent Origination on a more philosophical foundation. To find out how far the attempt of the \$\overline{A}bhidh\overline{a}mmikas\$ had been a success is the purpose of this paper. The present paper expounds the brief description of the causal relation of the two terms of each of the eleven propositions of the causal chain with reference to the twenty-four types of relation.

### 1 Relation between Ignorance (Avijjā) and Activities (Sankhāras):

When, in the  $K\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara$  plane, one contemplates on the nature of ignorance in order to get rid of it, the resultant activities are obviously conditioned by ignorance as the object condition ( $\bar{a}rammana$ -paccaya).<sup>7</sup> But however a person, in the  $K\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara$  plane, performs acts of charity and the like in order to get rid of ignorance, or when a person, in the

Rūpāvacara plane, practises meditation for the same purpose, the ignorance does the function of a decisive condition (upanissaya-paccaya) in respect of the activities, representing the act of charity and the practice of meditation. Again, when a man enjoys greed which is accompanied by ignorance, ignorance stands to the activities representing the enjoyment as an ārammaṇa-paccaya. Similarly, when in the case under consideration, the ignorance becomes a dominant object or a driving power, the relation becomes an instance of ārammaṇādhipati or ārammaṇūpanissaya-paccaya. When however a person, being mislead on account of ignorance, commits the immoral acts of killing and the like, the ignorance as a driving power stands in upanissaya-paccaya to the activities, representing the act of killing.

#### 2. Relation between Activities (Sankharas) and Consciousness (Viñnana):

The activities are technically known as Kamma and hence they are said to stand in Kamma-paccaya to the resultant consciousness. The priority of fructification of a particular Kamma is determined by its relative strength or power, and so the fructifying Kamma is also regarded as an upaanissaya-paccaya of the resultant consciousness. <sup>10</sup> Thus, activities stand as Kamma as well as Upanissaya-paccaya to the resultant consciousness.

## 3. Relation Between Consciousness (Viññana) and Mind Body (Nama-rūpa):

Here, (Viññāṇa) is not restricted to vipāka-viññāṇa (resultant consciousness) alone but is taken in a wider sense including volitional consciousness (abhisaṅkhāra-viññāṇa) also. The term nāma-rūpa also does not stand only for nāma and rūpa blended together, but severally for nāma, rūpa and nāma cum rūpa. Because Viññāṇa sometimes (e.g. in the arūpa-plane) gives rise to nāma alone, sometimes (in rūpa-plane) to rūpa alone and sometimes to both nāma and rūpa (e.g. in the Kāma-plane). The viñnāṇa stands to nāma in the following nine relations, each of which has the causal characteristic of 'co-presence with the effect': sahajāta, aňnamaňňa, nissaya, sampayutta, vipāka, āhāra, indriya, atthi and avigata. It also stands to hadaya-vatthu (heart-base), at the time of rebirth (paṭisandhi), in the same nine relations, only replacing sampayutta by vippayutta. It also stands to other physical aggregates (except the hadaya-vatthu) in eight relations, excluding only the aññamañña from

the nine above in case of hadaya-vatthu.<sup>12</sup> The abhisankhāra-viññāṇa however is related to the physical aggregates in relation of upanissaya.<sup>13</sup>

4. Relation between Mind-body (Nāma-rūpa) to Six Senses (Saļāyatana):

It has been discussed in three ways, namely the relations between  $n\bar{a}ma$  and  $sa|\bar{a}yatana$ , the relations between  $r\bar{u}pa$  and  $sa|\bar{a}yatana$  and the relations between  $n\bar{a}ma-r\bar{u}pa$  and  $sa|\bar{a}yatana$ .

- (a) Nāma: salāyatana- In the arūpa-plane, at the moment of rebirth (patisandhi), nama as vipaka is related to the sixth organ in the following seven ways namely, sahajāta, annamanna, nissaya, sampayutta, vipāka, atthi, and avigata.14 Besides, the nāma as abyākatahetu (viz. morally neutral alobha etc.) stands as hetu-paccaya to the said senseorgan and similarly the nama as psychical nutriment (arūpi-āhāra) stands to the same as an aharapaccaya. And also during the process of continuity (pavatta), including that of cognition, the nama as vipaka has the same relations with the sixth sense-organ. The nama as activities however bears to the said organ all the above relations excepting the vipāka which is possible only between terms which are resultants (vipākas). In the other planes, viz. Kāmāvacara and rūpāvacara, at the moment of rebirth (patisandhi), nama as vipāka is rleated, through the heart-base, to the sixth sense organ in the same seven ways mentioned above. To the other five sense-organs, however, it is related, through the four primary elements, in six ways, viz. sahajāta, nissaya, vipāka, vippayutta, atthiand avigata. 11 The releations of hetu and ahara are also possible in the same way as in the case of arupa plane. And also during the process of continuity, including that of cognition, the nama as vipaka has the same rleations with the sixth sense organ as vipāka. The nāma as vipāka, however, bear to the said organ all the above relations excepting the vipāka. To the remaining five sense-organs, during the process of cognition, the nāma as vipāka is related, through the corresponding five sense-bases (cakkhuppāsādādi-vatthu) in four ways, viz. pacchajāta, vippayutta, atthi and avigata. 16 The same relations also hold good between nama as volition and the five sense organs.
- (b)  $R\bar{u}pa$ :  $Sa[\bar{a}yatana$ : There is no  $r\bar{u}pa$  in the  $ar\bar{u}pa$ -plane, and so the question of determination of relation between  $r\bar{u}pa$  and  $sa[\bar{a}yatana]$  in that plane simply does not arise. In the other planes, however, the

physical base of heart, at the moment of rebirth (patisandhi), is related to the sixth sense-organ in the following six wyas: sahajāta, aññamañña, nissaya, vippayutta, atthi and avigata. The four primary material elements, however, are related, at the moment of rebirth as also during the process of coninuity, to the remaining five sense organs according as they arise in the following four ways: sahajāta, nissaya, atthi and avigata. The faculty of material vitality (rūpa-jivitindriya) is related to the five sense organs, at the moment of rebirth (patisandhi) as well as during the process, in three ways, viz., atthi, avigata and indriya. Āhāra is related to the same five sense-organs, during the process by way of atthi, avigata and āhāra. The hadayavatthu is related, during the process, by way of nissaya, purejāta, vippayutta, atthi and avigata.

(c) Nāma-rūpa: Saļāyatana: In the kāma and rūpa-plane, at the moment of rebirth (paṭisandhi), the nāma (consisting of three vipākakkhandhas viz. vedanā, saňňā and sahkhāra) and rūpa (hadayavatthu) stand to the sixth sense organ (viz. manāyatana or viñňāna) in the following ways, namely, sahajāta, aňňamaňňa, nissaya, vipāka, sampayutta, vippayutta, atthi and avigata.<sup>20</sup>

#### 5. Relation between Six-Senses (Saļāyatana) and Touch (Phassa):

The first five sense organs are related to the respective objects in six ways, viz. nissaya, purejāta, indriya, vippayutta, atthi and avigata. The sixth sense organ viz. manāyatana is related to the mind impression (mano-samphassa), which is a form of vipāka or resultant consciousness, in nine ways, namely, sahajāta, aññ amañňa, nissaya, vipāka, āhāra, indriya, sampayutta, atthi and avigata.<sup>21</sup>

### 6. Relation between Touch (Phassa) and Feeling (Vedan $\bar{a}$ ):

With reference to the five doors (pañcadvāra) the visual-impression (cakkhu-samphassa) is related to the feeling, arising at the eye-base in eight ways. 22 viz. sahajāta, aññamaňña, nissaya, vipāka, āhāra, sampayutta, atthi and avigata, and identical is the case of other four kinds of impressions. To the corresponding feelings, accompanying the process of sampaţicchana, santirana and tadārammaṇa in the Kāmaplane, the visual impression and the like are related by way of Anantarūpanissaya only. The mind-impression (mano-samphassa) accompanying the manodvārāvajjana, is related to the feelings, in the

kāmāvacara plane, accompanying the process of tadārammaņa by way of upanissaya-paccaya only.<sup>23</sup>

Touch also serves as the *nissaya- paccaya* (dependence-condition) for the arising of feeling, the latter cannot arise without a foot-hold on the former.

#### 7. Relation between Feeling (Vedanā) and Desire (Tanhā):

Feeling stands to desire as *upanissaya* in as much as the former is the driving power conditioning the latter.<sup>21</sup> It serves as a nourishing-condition (āhāra-paccaya), for dersire to arise and develop, it must be fed by pleasurable feeling. It also assists in the arising of desire by way of controlling condition (*indriya-paccaya*), since desire is controlled or determined by the pleasurable nature of the object. Lastly, feeling may appear to be continuance-conditions (*avigata-paccaya*) because once the pleasurable feelling is absent, one does not crave for it.<sup>25</sup>

#### 8. Relation between Desire (Tanha) and Clinging (Upadana):

The desire serves clinging as a root condition (hetu-paccaya), for just like the root of a tree, which draws up sap from earth and water and carries it up to nourish the tree and as a result the tree blossoms forth and bears fruit, even so desire, rooted in desirable objects, draws up the essence in the form of pleasure so that at last man clings to those pleasurable objects. Desire is also served as nourishing-condition (āhāra-paccaya), and also as a dominance-condition (adhipati-paccaya) for dominated by the strong desire for pleasurable objects, one clings on to them. <sup>26</sup>

The Kāmataṇhā is related to Kāmupādāna by way of upanissaya inasmuch as the latter arises with respect to the objects of the former. In other words, Kāmataṇhā leads one to Kāmupādāna. To the other three upādānas viz. diṭṭhupādāna, sīlabbatupādāna and attavādupādāna, however, the Kāmataṇhā is related in seven ways, namely sahajāta, añňamañña, nissaya, sampayutta, atthi, avigata and heu.<sup>27</sup>

## 9. Relation between Clinging (Upādāna) and Becoming (Bhava):

All the four kinds of *upādānas* are related to the *rūpa* and *arūpabhavas*, as well as to the moral volitions and the life-continuum of

the Kāma-bhava by way of upanissaya-paccaya only. To the accompanying immoral volitions of the Kāma-bhava, they are related by way of sahajāta, aňňamaňňa, nissaya, sampayutta, atthi, avigata and hetupaccaya. In the case of non-accompanying (i.e., immediately preceding) volitions, however, only the upanissaya-paccaya would hold good.<sup>25</sup>

Upādāna also serves as nourishing condition (āhāra-paccaya) for becoming (bhava). If one does not continue to cling on to this or that state of becoming, then there would be no rebirth.

### 10. Relation between Becoming (Bhava) and Birth (fati):

Here, becoming refers to only Kamma-bhava or the activities, which are responsible for birth by way of being its Kamma-paccaya and upanissaya-paccaya.<sup>29</sup>

Bhava also serves as resultant (vipāka-paccaya), because, it is the effectiveness of becoming of the desire to be born that is manifested in the birth of a new being.

#### 11. Relation between Birth (Jāti) and Decay and Death (Jarāmaraņa):

Birth is related to the decay & death by way of resultant-condition (vipāka-paccaya) for the latter are nothing but the fruitioning of the cause which is birth. It also serves as a contiguous condition (anantara-paccaya) for immediately after birth, the decay and death follow because of impermanence. Then, as pre-existence-condition (purejāta-paccaya) and also absence (natthi) and abeyance (vigata) conditions, birth serves the consequent suffering etc.<sup>30</sup>

 $J\bar{a}ti$  is also upanissaya-paccaya of  $Jar\bar{a}marana$  in a smuch as in the absence of  $j\bar{a}ti$ , jaram.trana is impossible, while on the occurence of  $j\bar{a}ti$ , the latter invariably follow.<sup>31</sup>

The above remarks would clearly bring into light the relation existing between the theory of Dependent Origination (paticasamuppāda) with the twelve factors and the theory of Relations (Paccaya). The attempt of the Abhidhanunikas to place the theory of Dependent Origination on a more philosophical foundation had thus been proved to be a success. With the formulation of the theory of Relations, the problem

how the things that are given in the theroy of Dependent Origination are related and in what way, is very consistently solved.

Department of Indo-Tibetan Studies
Visva-Bharati,
Santiniketan-731235
Birbhum ( W. Bengal)

#### Footnotes and References

- Imasmin Sati idam hoti, imassa uppāda idam uppajjati. Imasmin asati idam na hoti, imassa nirodhā idam nirujjhati - Majjhima-nikāya, II. (Ed.) Bhikkhu J. Kashyap. Nalanda. 1958. p. 257
- Visuddhimagga, (Ed.) Dwarikadasa Shastri. Varanasi. 1977. p. 450.
- 3. "upakārako lakkhaņo paccayo" 1bid.
- "yo hi dhammo yassa dhammassa thitiya va upattiya va upakarako hoti tassa paccavo ti vuccati" Ibid.
- 5. Patthāna-pakarana I, (Ed.) Bhikkhu J. Kashvap, Nalanda, 1961, pp. 3-11.
- 6. Patthānanayo pana āhaecapaccayatthitam ārabbha pavuccati-Abhidhammatthasangaho (Ed.) Revatadharma. Vaaranasi, 1965. p. 210.
- "Sā hi avijjam khayato vayato sammasanakale kāmāvacarānam pūtitābhisankhārānam ārammana-paccayena paccayo hoti" Visuddhimagga, p.457.
- "Avijjāsamatikkamatthāya pana dānādini ceva kāmāvacarapiññakiriyavatūni purentassa, rūpāvacarajjhānāni ca uppādentassa dvinnam pi tesam upanissayapaccayena paccayo hoti" Ibid.
- 9. "Evā hi avijjam ārabbha rāgādinam uppajjanakāle ārammanapaccayena, garum katvā assādanakāle ārammānadhipati-ārammanupanissayehi, avijjāsammuļaphassa anādinavadassavino pāņātipātādini karontassa upanissayapaccayena paccayo hoti" Ibid.
- "Kusalākusalam kammam vipākussa upanissaya-paccayena paccayo" Paṭṭḥānapakarana I. p. 138.
- 11. "yam hetum paţisandhiyam pavattiyam vā vipākasankhātam nāmam tassa rupamissassa vā amissassa vā, patisandhikam vā ahham vā vipākavihhanam sahajātaahhamahha-nissaya sampayatta vipākāhārandriya-atthi-avigatapaccayehi navadhā paccayo hoti" -Visuddhimagga, p. 474.

- "Thapetva pana vatthurūpam sesarūpassa imesu navasu aiiñamaiinapaccayam apanetvā sesehi atthahi paccayehi paccayo hoti" Ibid. p. 475.
- 13. "Abhisankhar aviñňanam pana assasattarūpassa vā pancavokārabhave... Upanissayavasena ekadha vā paccayo hoti"- Ibid.
- "Paţisandhiyam tāva avakamsato sahajāta-añhamañina- nissaya-sampayuttavipāka-atthi- avigatpaccayehi sattadhā nāmam chaṭṭhāyatanassa paccayo hoti"lbid. p. 470.
- "Itaresam pana tam pañt cannam cakkhāyatanādinam catumahāphūtasahāyam hutvā sabajāta- nissaya- vipāka- vippayutta- atthi-avigatavasena chahākarehi paccayo hoti" Ibid.
- 16. "Tattheva hi pavatte sesänam cakkhayatanadinam pancannam cakkhupasädädivatthukam itaram pi vipäkanämam pacchäjäta-vippayutta atthiavigata-paccayehi catudhä paccayo hoti" Ibid. p. 477.
- "Rūpato hi patisandhiyam vatthurūpam chaṭṭhassa manāyatanassa sahajātaaññamañña- nissaya- vippayutta- atthi- avigatapaccayehi chadhā paccayo hoti"lbid.
- 18. "Cattāri pana bhūtāni avisesato paṭisandhiyam pavatte... cakkhāyatanādinam sahajāta-nissaya-atthi- avigatapaccayehi catudhā paccayo hoti"-Ibid.
- 19. "Etesam pana cakkhūdinam pañcannam paţisandhiyam pavatte ca atthi-avigataindriyavasena rūpajivitam tidhū paccayo hoti. Āhūro ca atthi-avigatāhūravasena tidhū vā paccayo hoti" Ibid.
- 20 "Paţisandhiyam tava pañcavokarabhave khandhattaya- vatthurpasankhatam chatthuyatanassa sahajāta-añihamañiha- nissaya vipāka-sampayutta-vippayutta-atthi- avigatapaccayādihi paccayo hoti"- Ibid. p. 478.
- 21. "Cak khāyatanadīni tāva paīica cakkhusamphassādibhedaato paīicavidhassa phassassa nissaya- purejāta-indriya- vippayutta- atthi-avigatavasena chadhā paccayā honti. Tato param ekum vipākamanāyaatanam anekubhedassa vipākamanosamphassassa sahajāta- aĭīnamaĭīna-nissaya-vipāka-āhāra-indriya-sampayutta- utthi- avigatavasena navadhā paccayo hoti"- Ibid. p. 479.
- 22. "Tattha hi pañcadvāre cakkhupasādādivatthukānam pañcannam vedanānam cakkhusamphassādiko phasso sahajāta añňamaňña- nissaya- vijāka-āhāra-sampayutta-atthi-avigatavasena aṭṭḥadhā paccayo hoṭi"-Ibid. p. 480.
- 23. "yā pana tā manodvāre tadārammaṇavasena pavattā kāmāvacaravedanā, tāsam manodvāravajjana- sampayutto manosamphasso upanissayavasena ekadhā va paccavo hoti ti"- Ibid.
- 24. Ibid. p. 481.
- Kalupahana, D.J., "The Philosophy of Relations in Buddhism" (2) University of Cevlon Review Vol. XIX, 1962, p. 489.

6

- 26. Ibid.
- 27. "Ettha ca evam desite upādānacatukke purimassa kāmupādānassa kāmatanhā upanissayavasena ekadhā va paccayo hoti, tanhābhinanditesu visuyesu uppattito. Sesattayassa pana sahajāta- aññamañña-nissaya- sampayutta-atthi-avigatahetuvasena sattadhā vā paccayo hoti" Visuddhimagga, p. 485.
- 28. "Rupārūpabhavānam hi kāmabhavapariyāpannassa ca kammabhave... upanissayapaccayavasena ekadhā vā paccayo hoti. Kāmabhave attanā sampayuttākusala- kammabhavassa sahajāta-ātītiamañīta- nissaya- sampayuttaatthi-avigata-hetupaccayappabhedehi, sahajātādihi paccayo hoti. Vippayuttassa pana upanissaya paccayeneva ti"- Ibid. p. 487
- "So hi jātiyā paccayo, na upapattibhavo. So ca pana kammapaccayaupanissayapaccayavasena dvedhā paccayo hotiti" Ibid.
- Kalupahana, D.J. "The Philosophy of Relations in Buddhism" (2) University of Cevlon Review, Vol. XIX, 1962, p. 189.
- "Tasmā ayam pi jāti-jarāmaraņassa ceva sokādinam ca paccayo hotīti veditabbā.
   Sā pana upanissayakotiyā ekadhā vā paccayo hotīti" Vissuddhimagga, p. 487.