THE DAY AFTER

A follow-up report on the mass displacement of workers in Delhi: Findings of a survey of 100 closed units



Delhi Janwadi Adhikar Manch July 1997

This survey was made possible by numerous visits and interviews carried out by activists of constituent organisations over a period of three months.

The willing support of the workers and their hopes kept our efforts sustained.

For Private Circulation Only Suggested Contribution Rs. 10

Copies available at:

Delhi General Mazdoor Front 93, DSIDC, Okhla Phase I New Delhi 110020

All India Federation of Trade Unions BK-1/33B Janata Flats, Shalimar Bagh Delhi/110052

Bharat Naujawan Sangh 2-74 : Bhim Gali : Vishwas Nagar Delhi | 110052

Lokdasta F 3-79 LIG Flats, Sector 15, Rohini Delhi 110085

Published By : Delhi Janwadi Adhikar Manch

THE STORY SO FAR

On July 8 1996, in the matter of PIL no 4677/85, a Supreme Court order directed the relocation/closure of 168 factories/industries in consonance with the provisions of the Delhi Master Plan according to which, industries categorised as 'H' (i.e. "noxious and hazardous") were to be relocated. This is only the first phase of a long-term exercise in which 39,000 units will be shifted out of Delhi.

In this first phase no less than 50,000 workers have lost their jobs and been dislocated with their families, many from homes they have lived in for decades. 7,00,000 more are "involved" according to the Government of India (Union Urban Affairs Minister in the Lok Sabha on December 10, 1996).

In our earlier report, *The Order that Felled A City*, (Delhi Janwadi Adhikar Manch, February/March 1997) we had pointed out the basic flaws in the Supreme Court order which had posed the issue of environment as if it is in contradiction to workers' rights. The issue of pollution was reduced to that of beautifying the physical landscape of Delhi for the rich, rather than improving the working and living conditions of the working people on whose labour the city rests. We pointed out that workers were being unfairly penalised for failure on the part of owners of capital to comply with statutory laws with regard to safety, effluent discharge, hazardous processes, etc. Astonishingly, these orders critically affecting the basic livelihood of working-class families were passed in their absence during the judicial proceedings.

Since then the Supreme Court has consistently refused to entertain any review petition. In one instance of non-payment of compensation by the terms of the order, (and therefore tantamount to Contempt of Court), the judges directed the workers of Swatantra Bharat Mills to approach the Labour Commissioner regarding compensation (Review Petition of Delhi Government, April 20, 1997).

One year has passed since the July 8 order of the Supreme Court. Hence we decided to undertake a survey to find out whether or not compensation was being given; if the industries are relocating or closing; and what has been the reaction of the state and central governments to such a major social calamity in the making.

THE SURVEY

Of the 168 industries closed down in the first phase, we were able to conduct a survey of 100 units. We were not able to trace any workers of the 20 stone-crushing units at Rajokri that were closed in 1992. The information pertaining to the 11 leather tanneries at Keshopur could not be translated into figures. In the case of another 9 factories, our team was prevented from conducting the survey by security guards and owners. The information from the remaining 61 units has been tabulated in this report.

(i) Compensation

The May 10, 1996 order of the Supreme Court had allowed the owners of capital to collect large sums of money on the land they had been given at subsidized prices, by selling it at current commercial rates. Additionally, the burden on the owners to pay the compensation was not onerous and represented only a fraction of their estimated collection. Given that compliance with statutory minimum wages (unskilled: Rs. 1784, semi-skilled: Rs. 1950 and skilled: Rs. 2208) is itself a rarity, the order has only served to further disadvantage the workers.

Moreover, the reference in the Supreme Court's orders to Section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act (i.e. "conditions precedent to retrenchment of workmen") meant that a large section of the workers employed year after year as *badli* or casual workers, entitled to ESI and other benefits were denied compensation. As is well known, the proportion of those on the muster rolls of the factories to those who are not, is at least 60:40. In other words, nearly 20,000 workers cannot even claim compensation. Our survey in fact indicates that even after decades in service, upto 80% of workers are non-permanent. Of this figure, how many are contract, casual or simply absent from the muster rolls is impossible to say, since the muster roll is under the sole and total control of the owners and there is no public access to it.

Of those entitled to compensation under Section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act (read together with Section 25 B which defines "continuous service"), our survey shows that **compensation has not been paid to any worker** although more than one year has passed since the order was issued on July 8 1996, and seven months since the 168 units ceased production in November 30, 1996. This is in total disregard of the order of the Supreme Court dated December 4, 1996, which fixed April 30, 1997 as the deadline by which compensation had to be paid.

The only exception to this is Ayodhya Textile Mills which is a public sector concern. It declared closure and gave six years'compensation as per the Supreme Court orders, in mid-June.

Significantly, compliance with Sec 25 F requires that the compensation is given to the worker the moment he/she is retrenched and not at some later date. Obviously there is a case for gross violation of the provisions under this Section. Further, Sec 33 C which governs recovery of money from the employer, provides for a special machinery for enforcement of this right. Surprisingly

even this has not been invoked. Once workers give an application under Sec 33 C(1) to the appropriate government for the recovery, and the government is satisfied that such is the case, then it can order the Collector to recover the amount in the "same manner as an arrear of land revenue". If there is any dispute about the amount due then under Sec 33 C(2) the Labour Court can settle the matter.

During the course of these seven months, innumerable memorandums have been submitted to the state as well as central governments requesting them to intervene in the matter. Delhi Janwadi Adhikar Manch (DJAM) has itself presented several memorandums: to the Central government through the Union Ministry of Labour as well as to the Prime Minister personally; and to the Lt Governor of Delhi. We had asked them to either move the Court for Contempt of Court proceeding or to overrule the judicial orders through a legislation. They have refused to intervene on behalf of the workers.

In the meanwhile, the Government of Delhi through a gazette notification on May 20, 1997, asked the workers of DCM Silk Mills, Birla Textile Mills and Swatantra Bharat Mills to collect their compensation on condition that they sign away any further claim. Since the amount being offered is in dispute, those who accept the terms jeopardize any chance of getting what is their due. However, if they refuse to accept the amount being offered what awaits them is a protracted court battle. The struggle is between unequals and the workers are doubly disadvantaged; all their rights are breached with impunity and where they are partially upheld, enforcement through the labour and civil courts is a costly and long drawn out affair.

(ii) Pretence of Relocation

The question of amount of compensation is also linked to the issue of whether the unit is relocating or closing. In the case of relocation, the compensation is to be the payment of one year's salary and an additional year's salary as "shifting bonus". Where it is closure, owners have to pay six years wages as compensation.

To begin with, the Supreme Court order does not specify what "relocation" is, and provides for owners to make fresh applications for loans. As a result, several small units and at least one big unit (K L Rathi Steel) have informed their workers they are closing, but have in fact relocated or resumed production under another name. Most owners play on the ignorance of their workers and simply inform them that the factory is closing, with no reference to the Supreme Court order at all, thus avoiding all their obligations and the very question of compensation.

Our survey shows that in a number of cases, the units have made no move to communicate their decision to the workers. In cases where the decision to relocate has been taken and communicated (31 out of 100 units surveyed) there is little to indicate that the owners are sincere about relocation.

Both G D Rathi Steels Ltd. and Nova Steels had declared closure of their factories after the July order. When the amended order came on December 4, 1997, raising the amount of compensation in case of closure, these factories immediately announced plans of relocation to Raipur and Bilaspur in Madhya Pradesh respectively.

For Birla Textile Mills, which had already reduced its workforce from 8000 to 2500 in the last few years, and was unable to shut down entirely, the Supreme Court order paved the way for an official closure. Later, when the amended order came, a relocation project at Baddi in Himachal Pradesh was announced overnight to escape the burden of compensation for six years. However, a team which recently visited Tonk in Rajasthan (relocation site for Swatantra Bharat Mills) and Baddi, found no sign of relocation work in progress. These sites which are much beyond the limits of National Capital Region (NCR), were chosen deliberately, so that workers would refuse to shift of their own accord. As per the order, any employee refusing to shift is automatically deprived of compensation of six years' wages.

We apprehend that the owners of capital are using the pretence of relocation in order to pay only a paltry amount to the workers, whereas their intention is to close the plants and escape paying six years' wages.

In case of small units, workers with no bargaining power due to their small numbers, unrecorded status of employment and unfamiliarity with laws and their rights, accepted whatever the owners told them. Employees of tanneries in Keshopur for example, were given only back wages.

(iii) Retrenchment in anticipation

Much before the Supreme Court order, some industrialists had begun a process of getting rid of their workers, either by termination of their service or by simply closing the factories. This was done to avoid any possibility of payment of compensation in the future. In the unorganised sector, where most of the workers were casual, without any record of their employment, they were simply thrown out by the managements at different stages, thus pre-empting the possibility of struggle for their dues once the order came into effect

Before the date stipulated by the July order for closure (November 30, 1996), the management of G D Rathi Steel Ltd., declared a lockout on November 2, making use of a small agitation by the workers over the issue of yearly bonus. In the same manner, production was brought to a halt in Nova Steels on September 30. In Bhagsons Paint Industries, 44 workers were thrown out in a gradual process over a period of one year prior to the order. Only six workers were left to fight for their right to compensation when the final verdict came. Similarly, a slow process of terminations in small numbers had already started in Sahni Tyres with its workforce of 1600.

(iv) Cases of continuing production

Our survey of 100 units found some factories still in operation. Mahavir Steel Rolling Mills in Shahadra is a unique case, where the management challenged the Supreme Court order and filed a petition in February 1997, stating that theirs was not a polluting industry. They won the case in April 1997 and production resumed. This brings into question the basis of the selection of polluting units for closure.

In the case of a few others, the units remained in production illegally. In the locked premises of Bhagsons Paint Industries, production is carrying on inside the same premises under a new name, Jacksons Paints. The only difference here is the change of workforce. C. R. Leathers in Nangloi closed the factory on November 30 and reopened it on February 2, 1997. The same is the case with Ashoka Pulp and Paper Industries at Loni Road (not in the table) and Bhatia Chemicals in Shahadra.

(v) "Closure" of closed units

A number of units had been closed much before the Supreme Court orders came into effect. Twenty stone crushing units at Rajokri were closed down in 1992, despite the fact that several of them had begun installing water-sprinklers to bring down the dust pollution

Daulat Ceramics has been closed since 1984. Delhi Steel Rolling Mills has been closed since 1990, as the factory was running into losses. Arun Chemicals had been closed in 1992 and Punjab Potteries in 1993. Manoj Batteries, Gulshan Metal and DCM Silk Mills were closed in 1995. These factories which had shut down following the logic of their own business interests, were brought under the fold of the order. Today, the owners stand to gain all the benefits, from land to loans, being offered by the Delhi government. At the same time they have no liability to their workers since the workforce has long since dispersed.

(vi) Transfer of employees to avoid compensation

In Nova Steels Ltd, a few workers out of the total strength of 252 were offered employment at the Mayapuri plant under the same ownership, after the amended order came in December 1996. The same is the case with Hindustan Vegetable Oils (not in the table), a public sector unit. Here 25 workers were transferred to Hindustan Breakfasts Ltd. These sister concerns of both the factories are in no position to absorb this additional staff. The fear of retrenchment would always hang over the workers' heads even though they may be accommodated for the time being. This is yet another way of closing down a unit and getting away from any liability of compensation. The workers of Hindustan Vegetables Oils have filed a case in Delhi High Court against such a transfer.

It is obvious that many disputes have emerged from the Supreme Court order, primarily because of the inherent loopholes in it. It is also clear that the owners of capital have taken every advantage of any aspect the court is ambivalent about

We also found during our survey that a large number of workers are lost beyond trace. They have dispersed because of delays in compensation, and their consequent inability to fend for themselves. In area after area, workers' settlements look deserted and forlorn. As a result, even when workers' entitlement is not in dispute, circumstances deny them the opportunity to recover it.

Details of 61 Closed Units

Mect on NeeRth	Admin				Eye Probem	82			į	ţ	Breatung Problem	Ę	Ę	Ę	ţ	æ		į
Date of Cleaure - Affect on Health	, 16 mm			8	30 Nov'36 E	31 March 97	1992	30 NO. 98			8 vo 8	36 NOV 36	8 - ON OS	30 Nov 36	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	# OF 91	¥ 5	8 .6v S
Harre of the New Avg Wage Bits	Rs 45 Passa Quey	80	Beatryon	Belebryam	Serbabad		į	Deve Bess			96	8	8	391	300	900.	9041	1500 Seme pace
Proof of Employment Avg V					PF SEC ESI Card	Cerd 1668 (Permt)	u.	9						-	ř.		ē.	ř
	2	ē	5	8		E	8	S ES Cerd	33		\$	ESI Card	ES Card	*	ř	. S	c	3 5 %
Decreton of Banagement	30 Rebcason	Reccesor	Reticebon	Reccesor	Recesor	COBEL	Retocebon	Retoceton	į			Conce	Control	Control	Conce	رمحرد	Change	Restandon 2nd Fee 1997
Categories of Workers Permanent Casual Contract	ĸ					22 801												
Montan of	8	ę			٤	8 21	•	ş	Ş	;	3	Q	x	22	٤	ž	2	1
Product	Tercoa	Parts & Cremces	Bettery Plets	Part O	<u>.</u>	9 d d		Forgang Pi	See Foren			See Fage	<u>}</u>	*	ž.	2	2	ţ
•	vit Mehapu Bederpu	490/123 Prepalmets Bederpur	New Bedarput Posce Station	507 503 Other ind Perts Area Phili Chemo		41 Own ind Estate PP Caps N Dath-20	126 DISDC New Clots phil	Opta ind Ass Philin Dath 20	Nango Deb. 41		Name of	10211 Kiran Rd Nango 41	Jeresper Phil	Jernaph Pri	Hashe Road Remo Leaher Deb.4"	Note Unar	Rishe Cardem Nango Dehi 41	Righel Gerdens Nengo Deth 41
Name of Factory	1 Hindustan Petrochemicale	2 Lahahmi Paint & Chemicale	3 Gupta Industries	4 Hansa Paints	5 JJ Foems	6 Indi Containers & Closures Ltd	Arun Chemicale	8 Umeshankar Khandelwal & Co	Punjab Metal Works			" New Metal Co	12 M D R Leathers	1) Modern Leathers	's N K Leether	. O E Leather	to RA Lesibers	CR Leathers

			M. white			2	100			
Name of Factory	Address	Product		Categories of Workers	Workers Contract		Employment	Avg Wage Srie	Date of Closure Affect on Meeth	Affect on Health
13 Tarun Teading Co.	200 C	in the same	8			Cosure	ES-Card	1200	N NOV OK	Ę
1) Lale Ram& Sons	Deb at	į	g			Come	ŧ	3021	₩ vow ox	£
S) Vikas Chemical	Nango Det: 41	Chemicals	g			Closure	FS-Card	00:	S von or	ŧ
21 Dethi Colours & Chamcal Industries	4666 Agamus Gas Colours & Dyes Manaur Block Shahdra	Colours & Dyes		64		0000	۶		Before Nov. 1396	
22 Ashoks Udyog	Con Road Shands	Sem Craft Papers	8	, * *		Reccator		Вертон	% & & &	
23 Bhatia Chemical Industries	GT Road Stends	Calcium Chonde	£	õ		Retocator		į		
24 Delhi Sheel Roling Mills	Serds		ĕ		ž.	Control			8 5	
25 Mahavir Steel Rolling Mills	656 Kebu Ng G 1 Rd Shendle					Re Started				
26 Phillips Rubber Industries	299 Contput Deh 94	Tyes & Tubes	2	82		Retocation	PF MD &	1 00		
27 Bhagmeti Oxides & Chemicale	1A Jhami Shanda	Busing pas)		•	# 5 5	Retocebon	PF MP L	1600 Grapated	8 6 7 8	
29 Lappet Potterios	Get No 1 Frieds Colorry Gr Road		8	~	3	Retocation		1000 (Cesues) Granabed		
29 Nova Bleets India Ltd	0.00 E		ž		35	Recospor	ES Card	Ž.	% de 8	
30 Super King Mig (Tyre) Pvt Ltd	John ind Ass Sends	Tyres & Tubes	8	š	9	Retocation		1670-3000 Servbebad		
31 New India Paint Bart Industries	Jami ind Aes Sends					Recceton		2	30 Nov 98	
32 G D Raths Bleed Lemited	Shend and Avea	Steel Robing	8	ድ	8	Retocation		Rapur (MP) Awar (Raj)	8 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
11 K L Rath Steel Limited	Serve	Sheer Hollang	ş	۶	£.	\$PROC	F & to M	1400 perm.	8 9 2	
11 Sehani Rubber Industries	James ing Area		8							
35 Manaj Benerios	159 SA Kerama Ng Dah								Closed since past	

			Number of			Dec. Bron of	Property		1			
Name of Factory	Address	Product	Workers	Categories of Workers	Workers		č	Avg Wege	Site	Date of Cloquie	Affect on Health	
36 Aper Chemicolours	232 Kerenel Neger Delhi		2	Permanent Transport	Ceeual Contract	Percentage			Heas Per			
37 Asoka Coloura	STAZ Keremel Ng Defn		ç	v	٠	Retocation			Rapastran	* \$ \$.		
38 PMC Tyres & Tubes	Martin Area Karana Ng Dah		š			Rescabon To a nearth factory						
39 Gulehan Metal	19 no 38/18 Apur Deft 36	mete.								Over one rear		
40 Sunil Metal Works	39 Alou Budgu Den 36	Gugen pee				Recesor		•	Cod and Bread			
41 Anup Gupta	Abu pan Naves New Dath 36	Meta Reprocessing	ō							8 %		
42 Binds Industries	Names Abour Road Costs 40	Bectually Powder	è			Rescalor	9	s a ss	5	30 No. 96	S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
43 Mehalakehmi Chemicale	Brangan Naras Dah 40	Comput				Rescator		v	3	% 6 8		
44 Punjab Potteries	Gurgaon Road New Dath 37		š		š	OBMO				8		
45 Deutst Ceramic Industries	Names Dary Den 45									3		
66 Amba Factories and Refractories	Curpon Road Deh 31		ō	ž-		Closure				\$ 6 8		
47. Alga Industrias	Gurpeon Road Deen 37		ç		ō,					98 - 64 92		
48 Berte Toutile Mille	Subs Mand Deft " "Yead	P**A.	8			Reck abov	į	8 300.	2000 Badh HP	% · %	Astrona and	
49 Sri Ram Foods and Fertilizers	15 Strong Marg Dehi	Vegetable Die efc	8	\$59	v	650 Reconstan	ę.	# 5¢9.	1610 Rapus Punst	* %	ac ·	
Swantants Bharat Mills	Press Mary ands 1. Long	5	ğ	ž		A PROPERTY.	£.	8	WH 40, 000	* 38.3		
OCM Silk Mills	Should Mang Defin 11 Thread	3	28.			Receion	ę	300				
52 Mindustan Insecticides Ltd	GGS Mang Defn 15		8	3	9	Rescabor		ō	A 80	* 6		

			Number of			Decision of	Proof of	Name of the New		
Name of Factory	Address	Product	Workers	Categories of Workers	forkers	Management	Employment	Avg Wage Sile	Date of Closure	Date of Closure Affect on Health
				Permanent C	Casual Contract					
Vegetable Oils	Subur Mans Defn 7		ž			26			96 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
4 Ayodhya Textiles Mills	Austra Cem		S			2005			36 Nov 36	
Shagsons Paint Industries	Bada nd Estate Delts 40		٠			Court			9.05.9	
*€ Alga Pigmente	Badi nd Estate Dehi 40		٢			Cosure		8.1	8 20 20	
Products	Badkins Estate Dets 40					2500			\$ \$ \$	
Sajai Tyras	Bade ind Estate Deht 40					Retocation	FS	noor		
's Cruda Polymers	Sema Pur Dehi 42		£.			Retocation		eveke		
Stuffs	Chows Sama Put Dem 42					Receipor		eveken		
61 K C Dyers	6497 Devi vilage Dehi 67	Cyers Tallers	2			Reocator	2	900 1400 Brwas	30 40- 96	ş

Note: Compensation has not been paid to any worker of any units closed. The only exception is that of Ayodhya Textile Mills.

A CLOSER LOOK AT SOME UNITS...

KESHAVPUR TANNERIES

Twenty five tanneries which were operating at Keshavpur were earlier located in Punjabi Bagh where they were shifted from Karol Bagh. D.D.A. had developed and sold the land to the tannery owners. Each unit employed no more than 3-4 workers. The total labour force of 25 tanneries put together did not exceed 120. Most of these workers were from Samastipur or Vaishali. districts of Bihar. None of them were permanent employees. The older workers received Rs. 1200 p.m. and new ones received Rs. 300 - 600 pm.

Eleven out of 25 units appeared in the Supreme Court list of factories and were closed down. None of the workers have received any compensation.

According to the workers and owners of these tanneries, there is nothing in leather processing which contributes to pollution. The real problem was with the traders who collect and sell-dead animals. They used to leave them on the land facing Keshavpur Tannery. Bones were strewn around the residential colonies which attracted vultures. This problem for the residents led to the closing of units.

When our team visited the area, there were some units still working with goat hide, some of the hides drying under the sun. In another unit a truck was being loaded

G D RATHI STEEL LIMITED

Situated on Loni Road in east Delhi, it is one of the medium sized factories in the list of 168 units. Four hundred workers were involved in the production of steel rods (satiya), of whom only 29 are permanent. The rest are either casual or on contract. After every six months, their service record is discontinued by the management in order to deny them permanent status as workers and all other benefits.

On November 2, 1996, when the workers demanded their yearly bonus, the management used this opportunity to declare a lock-out. So a closure arising out of an industrial dispute came into effect before the Supreme Court order. This was a calculated move by the Rathis. Now in order to claim compensation due to closure, workers will first have to fight a legal battle in the labour court to prove the lock-out as illegal. And such battles between workers and managements drag on for years in the courts. By that time the Rathis would have sold the land at Loni Road worth crores of rupees and shifted the capital to some other trade.

After the order on November 30, the management decided to declare the present unit as closed. But when an amendment was made by the court on December 4, raising the compensation amount from one year to six years in cases of closures (in case of relocation, compensation remained unchanged from the earlier order), the management immediately declared a plan to relocate the plant at Raipur in MP, much beyond the limits of the NCR. However, since this move was made to escape the financial responsibility of compensation, no procedure was initiated for relocation. Workers were compelled to approach the court. At present three separate petitions against the management are pending on behalf of office staff, permanent workers and contract workers.

K L RATHI STEEL LTD.

Situated on Loni Road, K. L. Rathi Steel Limited, registered in 1950, has approximately 500 workers of whom 300 are either temporary or contract workers. 250 of the contract workers have been employed for 8-10 years. Like G.D. Rathi here also 60 temporary workers get re-employed every 6 months. The average salary of the contract workers is Rs. 800 to Rs. 1000 p.m., much below the minimum wage. There is only one contractor who supplies labour, under sixteen different names. While a notice for closure has been put up, workers claim that the factory is being relocated in Ghaziabad. Even the Provident Fund has not been released to the permanent workers. The entire workforce has gone to court for payment of compensation.

NOVA STEEL INDIA LIMITED

One of the three steel companies at Lont Road is Nova Steels with a workforce of 252 workers. No worker has any appointment letter. The factory was officially closed on November 30-but production came to a halt on September 30. As with G.D Rathi Steels it was done in anticipation of any compensation claims arising out of the order of July 8.

On December 27, after the financial accountability of management towards workers was increased by the court in case of closure, the management came out with a plan to relocate at Bilaspur in M.P. The workers refused to go to such a distant place. They filed a petition on February 8 demanding that either the factory be relocated within NCR or otherwise compensation be given for 6 years.

Some workers were given an option to shift to the Mayapuri plant of the same ownership. This move was made by the management to escape the burden of compensation for the time being, leaving open the option of retrenching the workers at an appropriate moment. But the workers decided to stay back with the others and fight the case.

BHAGSONS PAINT INDUSTRIES

Situated in the Badli Industrial Estate near Delhi Bypass on the northern border, Bhagsons Paint Industries had 50 workers in its factory. Along with the Supreme Court proceedings, a slow process of retrenchment started in Bhagsons. This was done to escape the financial burden of compensation to workers in case an order was passed by the court. Only 6 workers were left when the final verdict came. Determined to claim their dues and compensation they filed a case at the Labour Commissioner's office. As a result, on May 3, they got their salary up to March. Since then, they have been sitting on a dharna at the factory gate, to keep the pressure on the management and the authorities.

The factory gates are locked from outside, but the same production with the same polluting process continues inside with a new workforce, under the new name of Jacksons Paints.

THE MASTER PLAN OF DELHI

The Supreme Court has used the Master Plan of Delhi (MPD) selectively as well as in an arbitrary fashion. For instance, in its order of December 31, why did the court go beyond the purview of the MPD whose planning authority does not extend beyond National Capital Region? The July 8 order had obliged the owners to relocate within the NCR but the December order overturned it, by permitting relocation anywhere in the country.

Again, the MPD says that, "Existing industries should not be discouraged or expelled; attempts should be made for their better and more efficient operation". Accordingly, the Supreme Court in an earlier order dated April 9, 1996 directed 1.27 lakh units to set up Common Effluent Treatment Plants. The total cost was to be Rs 200 crore, of which 20% was to be paid by the industries apart from their liability to re-pay 30% of the cost borrowed from the Industrial Development Bank of India. In other words, these units were to contribute Rs 40 crores and repay the loan of Rs 60 crores in instalments. The remaining 50% was to be borne by the state government. This course of action was in conformity with the MPD. This would have brought down industrial pollution and saved lakhs of jobs. Yet, it was dropped without any explanation!

Further, in the illustrative list of industries permitted by the MPD in conforming zones are included such activities as stone-crushing, small steel forgings, ceramics and potteries, automobile spare parts and accessories, etc. They are not categorised as "H", i.e. "noxious and hazardous". Since the basis of the July 8 order of the Supreme Court is category H, there is no justification for shutting down units such as those listed above.

If provisions of the MPD can be used selectively, then why is it that in each case the workers have suffered while owners have benefited?

If the MPD 1962 is indeed, according to the Judges in their order of April 30, 1996, a "Charter for deciding land use pattern", then they ought to have considered the premises on which various projections were made in the MPD. Its estimate of population in 1981 was 55 lakhs which became 62 lakhs in actuality. The size of the workforce was estimated to be 4,40,300 in 1981 compared to 4,60,000 in actual fact. By now even these figures have become irrelevant. By 1996, Delhi's population crossed one crore, number of workers climbed to 9.1 lakhs.

Even the pattern of migration proved to be at variance with projections. MPD 1962 believed that "migration to Delhi is relatively greater from Rajasthan and south Punjab (Haryana after 1967) than from West UP or northern Punjab". Therefore, it sought to create employment opportunities around Delhi, "in the Ring Towns especially in the directions where most of the people come from" (p 75). However, Delhi Urban Arts Council in its Conceptual Plan 1986, established that migration from UP and Bihar alone accounts for 52%. Only 20% of total migrants come from Haryana, Punjab and Rajasthan.

In other words, MPD 1962 was way out of tune with the changed reality and therefore its recommendations were out of date and irrelevant. The Master Plan for Delhi 2001 which was

supposed to undertake "revision" of MPD 1962 also proved unreal. Indeed, its recommendation that Meerut, Rewari, Khurja. Rohtak and Panipat be developed to decentralise Delhi's growth fell flat because these towns had grown even faster and were themselves suffering from excessive air, water and noise pollution. In other words, relocating industries to bordering regions amounts to transferring the problem from Delhi to other towns.

I inally, if it is contended that certain manufacturing processes or operations harm those living in its environs then, surely those working in the processes are exposed to far greater risks. In the first place the Supreme Court could have demanded from the state government an explanation as to why it did not prosecute owners of these factories for contravention of Sec 87 (Dangerous Operations) and Sec 87 A (power to prohibit employment on account of serious hazard) of the Factories Act 1948.

In an earlier judgement (1982) the Supreme Court had ruled in the case of PUDR vs Union of India ton the issue of contract workers employed for construction work for the Asian Games), that the Lundamental Right to Life (Article 21) includes health and strength, which is but a minimum requirement to live with dignity. By showing no concern for the workers' interests in its orders on relocation of polluting hazardous industries, the Supreme Court has overturned its own judgement. Workers have been victimised and reduced to penury, amounting to denial of their Right to Life.

WHAT NEXT?

What statistics and reports do not reveal is the simmering anger of the workers at the neglect and blatant denial of their rightful dues. In factory after factory, we were told by workers that they had been left with no alternative but to resort to desperate means. "Ab faisla sadkon par In hoga. The self-immolation of Sarvesh Chand during a May Day rally this year in Delhi caught public attention, but the widespread resentment among the workers continues to be ignored. It is only their sustained struggle that has now compelled some political parties to respond.

Neither the judiciary nor the administration nor the government can escape culpability for this grim situation. The judiciary has violated principles of natural justice by condemning workers in absentian no point during the entire judicial proceedings did the Coart consider it necessary to listen to the workers' side of the story. The administration has shown its callons indifference by refusing to implement even the minimal relief to workers provided in the orders. And the government, which has been prompt in moving an ordinance to rescue 1800 government employees fordered by the Supreme court to vacate fraudulently acquired accommodation), has shown criminal ineglect towards the lives of lakhs of working-class people.

this is nothing short of tyranny. What shape will the rage of the workers take?

The *Delhi Janwadi Adhikar Manch* was formed on December 16, 1996, when various organisations came together to address issues arising from a series of Supreme Court orders relocating polluting industries and cleaning up Delhi. We had two issues before us: (i) the dislocation of thousands of working class families due to the closure of factories, and (ii) the demolition of *jhuggi bastis* and the consequent displacement of those living in them.

So far, the Manch has been engaged in :

- organising protest dharnas at the Supreme Court, Labour Ministry, etc.
- holding public meetings on the issue in various industrial areas.
- campaigning against the Supreme Court order through distribution of thousands of leaflets, cultural programmes and rallies.
- mobilising opinion in universities, the media and the public at large through literature, discussions and public meetings.
- joining the struggle of jhuggi dwellers against the on-going demolition drive.
- supporting the formation of a Sangharsh Samiti of various jhuggi-bastis of the city.

Our publications include: i) The Order That Felled A City - A report on the politics of pollution and the mass displacement of workers in Delhi. ii) For Whom The Bell Tolls - A survey of six closed units.

We meet every Monday at 5 p.m. in the lawns of Constitution Club, Rafi Marg, New Delhi. We look forward to more people joining us, and strengthening the struggle of the workers.

We also appeal to you for small financial contributions to keep our work going.

The Manch comprises of:

All India Federation of Trade Unions

Progressive National Labour Union

I nited Trade Union Congress

Rashtriya Kisan Mazdoor Ekta

Sarvahara Mehnatkash Sangharsh

Sannti

Delhi General Mazdoor Front

Nirman Mazdoor Panchayat Sangam

Mazdoor Ekta Committee

Indian Federation of Trade Unions

Ali India Coordination Committee of Trade Unions

Sangharsheel

Mazdoor Samiti

Progressive Students' Union

Democratic Students' Union

Navjanvadi Shikshak

Manch

People's Union for Democratic Rights

Aids Bhedbhay Virodhi Andolan

Saheli

Janpaksh

i ellowship of Blood Donors

Jhuggi Jhonpri Nivasi Adhikar Samiti

Asmita

Appeal Movement

Coordinating Council

UBSPD Workers' Union

Sampradayikta Virodhi Andolan

Journalists' Forum

Bihaan Sanskritik Manch

Centre for Alternate Dalit Media

Sarvahara Party - Nepal

Bharat Gyan

Vigyan Samiti

Ok Dasta

Charvak

Peoples Union for Civil Liberties

Bharat Naujawan Sangh

Ali

India League for

Revolutionary Culture

Human Rights Trust

Naujawan Sabha